Methylglyoxal Bis(guanylhydrazone) Analogs VI. Methylglyoxal Bis(guanylhydrazone) Pamoate

By EUGENE G. PODREBARAC and C. C. CHENG

The pamoate of methylglyoxal bis(guanylhydrazone) was prepared. This compound, on a molar basis, is more active and less toxic than the corresponding dihydrochloride salt against leukemia L-1210.

THE ANTITUMOR ACTIVITY of methylglyoxal bis-(guanylhydrazone),1 [methyl-GAG, Ia], against leukemia L-1210 in mice and adult acute myelocytic leukemia (1, 2) in man is now well known. This drug, however, was found to be quite toxic (3, 4).

$$\begin{array}{c} & \text{NH} \\ \parallel \\ \text{R}_1 - \text{C} = \text{N} - \text{NH} - \text{C} - \text{NH}_2 \\ \parallel \\ \text{R}_2 - \text{C} = \text{N} - \text{NH} - \text{C} - \text{NH}_2 \\ \parallel \\ \text{NH} \\ \text{ia, R}_1 = \text{CH}_8, \text{R}_2 = \text{H} \\ b, \text{R}_1, \text{R}_2 = \text{H} \\ c, \text{R}_1 = \text{CH}_2 \text{OH}, \text{R}_2 = \text{H} \\ d, \text{R}_1, \text{R}_2 = \text{CH}_3 \end{array}$$

Subsequent work revealed that, except for the unmethylated compound Ib which is almost as active (1) as Ia, other modifications of the basic structure failed to yield compounds active against leukemia L-1210 (5-9). The reported activity of hydroxymethyl-GAG (Ic) was proved to be erroneous since the originally synthesized Ic (10) was found to be actually hydrated methyl-GAG (11-13). The authentic hydroxymethyl-GAG did not show the expected activity (11-13). Although the compound 4,4'-diacetyldiphenylurea bis(guanylhydrazone) [DDUG, II], was found to have marked activity against leukemia L-1210 (14), no cross-resistance between methyl-GAG and DDUG was observed (14-16). In addition, a structurally related com-

pound, dimethyl-GAG (Id), is entirely devoid of antileukemia activity (6). Compound II is believed to be an analog of the terephthalanilide-type (15).

In view of the aforementioned information, an attempt was made to modify the absorption and excretion pattern, rather than the basic structure, of Since salts of pamoic acid, due to their very limited solubility, are known to provide slow release of basic drugs and thus maintain a low level of drug in the blood stream,2 the pamoate salt of methyl-GAG (III) was prepared in this laboratory.

$$\begin{array}{c} NH \\ CH_3-C=N-NH-C-NH_2 \\ HC=N-NH-C-NH_2 \\ NH \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} COOH \\ OH \\ CH_2 \\ OH \\ COOH \\ \end{array}$$

The title compound was readily obtained by the reaction of methylglyoxal bis(guanylhydrazone) dihydrochloride and the disodium salt of pamoic acid. Equivalent amounts of pure starting materials gave desired product of analytical purity in 91% yield.

Preliminary tests of III indicated activity in the 9KB primary screen. Tests in the leukemia L-1210 system revealed that, on a molar basis, compound III is much more active and less toxic than the corresponding dihydrochloride salt (see Table I). For comparison, pamoic acid or its sodium salt possessed no anticancer activity against either sarcoma 180, carcinoma 755, or leukemia L-1210 in mice (21).

That compound III is more than a simple acidbase compound was demonstrated by titration studies. The addition of two equivalents of base to an aqueous suspension of the compound, even after prolonged stirring, failed to yield a solution (a solution was obtained after the addition of four equivalents of base). Since these conditions should give freely water-soluble materials—the free base of methyl-GAG and the disodium salt of pamoic acidit appears that the phenolic functions of the pamoic acid may play an important role in the composition of III. As such, the pamoic acid salt of methyl-GAG is very different from the simple methyl-GAG salts.

EXPERIMENTAL

One mole (257 g.) of the purified dihydrochloride

Received May 5, 1968, from the Midwest Research Institute, Kansas City, MO 64110
Accepted for publication June 5, 1968.
This investigation was supported by the Cancer Chemotherapy National Service Center (CCNSC), National Cancer Institute, of the National Institutes of Health, Public Health Service, contract PH-43-85-94.

1 According to Chemical Abstracts, the name for this compound is 1,1'-[(methyl)ethanediylidenedinitrilo]diguanidine.

² In the treatment of malaria, intramuscular injection of an oil suspension of cycloguanil pamoate was found to cur-tail the effects of malaria in man for extended periods (17-19). In cancer chemotherapy study, it was reported that the pamoates of various alkylating agents had significantly better actions in prolonging the survival time of mice with ascites tumors than their corresponding hydrochloride salts (20) (20).

TABLE I—COMPARISON OF ANTILEUKEMIA ACTIVITY OF THE DIHYDROCHLORIDE AND PAMOATE OF METHYLGLYOXAL BIS(GUANYLHYDRAZONE) AGAINST LEUKEMIA L-1210 IN MICE®

Dose (i.p.), mg./kg. Survivors Cures Wt. Diff. T/C							
mg./kg. Survivors Cures Wt. Diff. T/C Me-GAG·2HCl 400.0 0/7 200.0 5/7 -3.8 89 100.0 7/7 -2.0 144 60.0 6/6 -2.3 168 60.0 6/6 -1.2 138 60.0 5/6 -1.4 114 40.0 6/6 -0.1 13 25.0 6/6 -0.1 139 25.0 6/6 -0.5 135 18.0 6/6 -0.5 135 18.0 6/6 -0.8 124 11.1 6/6 -0.3 118 Me-GAG·Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 -1.6 208 800.0 10/10 1 -2.4 257 400.0 10/10 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 1 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264	nt						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ь						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
100.0 7/7 -2.0 144 60.0 6/6 -2.3 168 60.0 6/6 -1.2 138 60.0 5/6 -1.4 114 40.0 6/6 -0.1 139 25.0 6/6 -0.1 160 25.0 6/6 -0.5 135 18.0 6/6 -0.4 115 16.0 6/6 -0.8 124 11.1 6/6 -0.3 118 Me-GAG-Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 -1.6 208 800.0 10/10 1 -2.4 257 400.0 10/10 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 -1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 -1.3 260 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264)						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ŧ						
25.0 6/6 -1.2 160 25.0 6/6 -0.5 135 18.0 6/6 -0.4 115 16.0 6/6 -0.8 124 11.1 6/6 -0.3 118 Me-GAG · Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 -1.6 208 800.0 10/10 1 -2.4 257 400.0 10/10 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 1 -1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264	,						
25.0 6/6 -0.5 135 18.0 6/6 -0.4 115 16.0 6/6 -0.8 124 11.1 6/6 -0.3 118 Me-GAG-Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 -1.6 208 800.0 10/10 -1.2 170 800.0 10/10 1 -2.4 257 400.0 10/10 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 -1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.1 210)						
18.0 6/6 -0.4 115 16.0 6/6 -0.8 124 11.1 6/6 -0.3 118 Me-GAG · Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 -1.6 208 800.0 10/10 1 -2.4 257 400.0 10/10 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 -1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264	j						
16.0 6/6 -0.8 124 11.1 6/6 -0.3 118 Me-GAG · Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 -1.6 208 800.0 10/10 1 -2.4 257 400.0 10/10 -1.7 196 200.0 10/10 -1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 1 -1.1 210	j						
Me-GAG· Pamoate 1000.0 10/10 −1.6 208 800.0 10/10 −1.2 170 800.0 10/10 1 −2.4 257 400.0 10/10 −1.7 19€ 200.0 10/10 −1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 −1.6 264 100.0 10/10 −1.1 210	t						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	}						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Me-GAG · Pamoate						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)						
$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,						
200.0 10/10 -1.3 157 200.0 10/10 1 -1.6 264 100.0 10/10 -1.1 210	j						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7						
100.0 10/10 -1.1 210	Ł						
EO O 10/10 O O 166)						
50.0 10/10 -0.8 166	;						
25.0 9/9 -1.2 136	;						
19.0 6/6 0.3 123	3						
15.6 6/6 -1.8 135	5						
12.0 6/6 -1.7 125	5						
7.8 6/6 -1.1 116	3						

^a Biological testing was performed by contract screeners CCNSC. ^b T/C = survival time: test/control. of CCNSC.

salt of methyl-GAG was dissolved in 2,500 ml. of distilled water and the solution warmed to 80°. A disodium pamoate solution was prepared by dissolving 1 mole of disodium pamoate monohydrate (450 g.) in 2,800 ml. of distilled water. The solution was warmed to 70°, treated with Norite, then filtered (still had red-brown color).

The Me-GAG·2HCl solution was stirred vigorously at 80-90° while the disodium pamoate solution at 70° was added portionwise during approximately 45 min. A solid began to separate immediately. The reaction mixture was stirred at 90° for 1 hr. then filtered. The filter cake was washed well with hot water then dried in a vacuum oven at 80-85° at approximately 20 mm. for 20 hr.

to give 536 g. (91\% yield) of the pamoate salt of methylglyoxal bis(guanylhydrazone), m.p. 262-263° dec.; $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{PH I}}$ 282 m μ (ϵ 61,800); 273 m μ (ϵ 63,600). $\lambda_{\max}^{\text{PH II}}$ 327 m μ (ϵ 63,100); 235 m μ (ϵ 94,200). Minor absorption peaks at 300 and 288 mµ and a shoulder at 277 mµ were noted in pH 11.

Anal.—Calcd. for C28H28N8O6·H2O (mol. wt. 590.6): C, 56.94; H, 5.12; N, 18.97; H₂O, 3.05. Found: C, 56.98; H, 4.71; N, 19.27; H₂O, 3.00.

REFERENCES

(1) Freelander, B. L., and French, F. A., Cancer Res., 18, 360(1958).
(2) Freireich, F., and Frei, E., III, Proc. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res., 3, 319(1962).
(3) Regelson, W., Selawry, O., and Holland, J. F., ibid., 3, 352(1962).
(4) Tidball, M. E., and Rall, D. P., ibid., 3, 367(1962).
(5) Podrebarac, E. G., Nyberg, W. H., French, F. A., and Cheng, C. C., J. Med. Chem., 6, 283(1963).
(6) Baiocchi, F., Cheng, C. C., Haggerty, W. J., Jr., Lewis, L. R., Liao, T. K., Nyberg, W. H., O'Brien, D. E., and Podrebarac, E. G., ibid., 6, 431(1963).
(7) Podrebarac, E. G., ibid., 6, 431(1963).
(8) Liao, T. K., Baiocchi, F., and Cheng, C. C., ibid., 7, 806

(8) Liao, T. K., Baiocchi, F., and Cheng, C. C., J. Org. Chem., 30, 560(1965).
(9) Podrebarac, E. G., and Cheng, C. C., J. Med. Chem., 9, 609(1966).

(10) Freelander, B. L., and French, F. A., Cancer Res., 18, 1286(1958).

1286(1958).
(11) Davidson, J. D., Engle, R. R., and Mancuso, R. W., Cancer Chemotherapy Rept., No. 31, 3(1963).
(12) Davidson, J. D., Engle, R. R., and Mancuso, R. W., Cancer Res., 23, 1708(1963).
(13) Loo, T. L., Dion, R. L., Davidson, J. D., and Adamson, R. H., J. Med. Chem., 6, 819(1963).
(14) Mihich, E., Cancer, 20, 880(1967).
(15) Mihich, E., and Mulhern, A. I., Cancer. Res., 28, 354

(1968). (16) Mihich, E., and Gelzer, J., ibid., 28, 553(1968). (17) Coatney, G. R., Contacos, P. G., Lunn, J. S., Kilpatrick, J. W., and Elder, H. A., J. Trop. Med. Hygiene, 12, 504(1963).

14(1903).
(18) Thompson, P. E., Olszewski, B. J., Elslager, E. F., ad Worth, D. F., *ibid.*, 12, 481(1963).
(19) Kaump, D. H., Schardein, J. L., Fisken, R. A., and yrenson, O. J., Jr., *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 7, 781

(20) Creech, H. J., Breuninger, E., Hankwitz, R. F., Jr. Polsky, G., and Wilson, M. L., Cancer Res., 20, 471(1960).
(21) Engle, R. R., private communication.

Keyphrases 🏻

Methylglyoxal bis(guanylhydrazone) pamoate-synthesis

Antileukemic activity—methylglyoxal bis-(guanylhydrazone) pamoate

UV spectrophotometry-structure